

APPLICANT	Reviewer1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5	Reviewer 6
Michelle Law						
Leigh Greathouse						

Composite Rank Score	Rank	Tied to external proposal
#DIV/0!		
#DIV/0!		

Michelle Law

Faculty Name	1. Overall Quality & Merit	2. Background & Rationale	3. Methods	4. Expected Outcomes, Benefits, Deliverables	5. Significance & Innovation	6. Value to Field or Profession	7. Value to the Individual	8. Sig Impact on Research Trajectory	9. Value to Dept, RCHHS, BU	10. Justification for Release Time	Tied to External Funding	Total Score	Average Score	Reviewer Name	Detail notes (semesters)
Reviewer 1	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	yes	14	1.4	Boccia, Maria	Spring 2025
Reviewer 2	3	2	3	3	2	4	3	3	2	4	yes	29	2.9	Ylitalo, Kelly	
Reviewer 3	2	2	1	3	2	1	3	2	2	2	yes	20	2	Doucet, Barbara	
Reviewer 4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	yes	35	3.5	Boddy, Anne	
Reviewer 5	3	2	6	2	5	3	1	1	1	3	yes	27	2.7	Perrine, Brittany	
Reviewer 6	1	1	4	3	1	1	2	2	2	1	yes	18	1.8	Meyer, Andy	
Averages:	2.33	1.83	3.50	2.67	2.50	2.33	2.17	2.17	1.83	2.50		23.83	2.38		

Leigh Greathouse

Faculty Name	1. Overall Quality & Merit	2. Background & Rationale	3. Methods	4. Expected Outcomes, Benefits, Deliverables	5. Significance & Innovation	6. Value to Field or Profession	7. Value to the Individual	8. Sig Impact on Research Trajectory	9. Value to Dept, RCHHS, BU	10. Justification for Release Time	Tied to External Funding	Average Score	Reviewer Name	Detail notes (semesters)	
Reviewer 1												#DIV/0!	Boccia, Maria	Fall 24 - Spring 25	
Reviewer 2	4	3	5	5	3	2	3	3	5	2	yes	35	3.5		Ylitalo, Kelly
Reviewer 3	5	6	5	4	5	3	4	3	3	4	yes	42	4.2		Doucet, Barbara
Reviewer 4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	yes	20	2		Boddy, Anne
Reviewer 5	3	5	5	3	2	1	3	1	1	2	yes	26	2.6		Perrine, Brittany
Reviewer 6	6	7	5	6	6	4	5	3	7	8	yes	57	5.7		Meyer, Andy
Averages:	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.00	3.60	2.40	3.40	2.40	3.60	3.60		36.00	3.60		

Scoring Table for Research Leave Applications

Degree of Impact	Impact Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
High	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Moderate	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

Definitions

Minor: easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen the impact of the project.

Moderate: weakness that lessens the impact of the project.

Major: weakness that severely limits the impact of the project.